

GravitoMagnetic Force in Modified Newtonian Dynamics

Qasem Exirifard

*School of Physics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences, Tehran 19538-33511, Iran**

We derive the gravitomagnetic field in the Λ CDM and Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND) paradigms before showing that the the gravitomagnetic force at the edge of a galaxy can be in accord with only one of them. We notice that MOND enhances the precession of a gyroscope embedded in the gravitational saddle point of the Sun-Jupiter system, and the near future technology can detect the enhancement.

PACS numbers: 04.20.-q, 04.50.Kd, 95.35.+d

The missing mass problem in galaxies can be resolved either by modifying the Newtonian Dynamics and gravity, or assuming the presence of dark matter. Perhaps it is interesting to search for some effects/predictions of MOND which can not be reproduced by the dark matter paradigm. In this line of thought, we show that MOND not only affects the fall of of the gravitational potential but it also affects the fall of of the gravitomagnetic force. We report that the Λ CDM and MOND paradigms predict different fall of for the gravitomagnetic force on and beyond the edge of a spinning galaxy. We notice that MOND also alters the gravitomagnetic force near the gravitational saddle ‘points’ of the solar system: at the events where the gravitational field vanishes. We argue that the MOND paradigm can be approved or discarded in the near future by measuring the gravitomagnetic field in the saddle ‘point’ of the Sun-Jupiter system.

The note is organized as follows. First we review the gravitomagnetic force before uplifting it into the MOND paradigm. We then estimate the size of the gravitomagnetic force in the saddle point of the Sun-Jupiter system. This suggests that the gravitomagnetic force in this semi-Lagrange point can be measured in the near future. This suggestion adds to the possibility of observing MOND in the solar system [1, 2].

I. GRAVITOMAGNETIC FIELD IN MOND

Classical gravity is governed by a single scalar field, the gravitational potential. The Newtonian gravitational potential satisfies:

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G\rho, \quad (1)$$

where ρ is the density of matter. Albert Einstein attempting to uplift gravity to a relativistic regime, first replaced the space-time metric of Minkowski by

$$ds^2 = -c(\Phi)^2 dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2, \quad (2)$$

later with the Gromann’s help, he introduced the Riemannian metric,

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu, \quad (3)$$

as the relativistic gravity [3]. The relativistic theory of gravity has a symmetric rank-two tensor: the metric. Metric has 10 components in four dimensions, 9 more than the degrees of the classical gravity. To perceive the physical meaning of the degrees of the freedom of the relativistic theory, let the trajectory of a slow moving particle be considered in a static deviation from the Minkowski metric. In so doing, the metric reads

$$ds^2 = \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + h_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu, \quad (4)$$

Also note that due to the equations of motion of the metric, the off-diagonal components of h_{ij} are comparable to its other components only for a relativistic mass distribution, mass distributions like geons [5]. Also note that the contribution of the h_{ij} can not be neglected on light propagation or orbits of massless particles. Here we, however, are considering the geometry around a non-relativistic mass distribution. We also study the orbits of massive particles: the paths that at the leading and sub-leading order can be derived from the first variation of

$$S = \int d\tau ((-1 + 2\phi)\dot{t}^2 + (\dot{x}^i)^2 + 2A_i \dot{t} \dot{x}^i), \quad (5)$$

wherein $h_{ij}\dot{x}^i\dot{x}^j$ has been ignored, and τ is an affine parameter and

$$\phi \equiv \frac{1}{2}h_{00} \quad (6)$$

$$A_i \equiv h_{i0} \quad (7)$$

The Euler-Lagrange equation for t derived from (5) reads

$$\dot{t}(-1 + 2A_0) + 2A_i \dot{x}^i = cte \quad (8)$$

where $\dot{t}(-1 + 2A_0)$ stands for the gravitational redshift while $2A_i \dot{x}^i$ represents a new relativistic term, a term yet to be experimentally observed [21].

The Euler-Lagrange equation for x^i derived from (5) then leads to

$$\frac{d^2 x^i}{dt^2} \approx c^2 \partial^i A_0 + c \delta^{ij} (\partial_k A_j - \partial_j A_k) \frac{dx^k}{dt} \quad (9)$$

*Electronic address: exir@theory.ipm.ac.ir

Now let it be defined

$$g_{00} \equiv -(1 + \frac{2\Phi}{c^2}) \quad (10)$$

$$g_{0i} \equiv -\frac{2A_i}{c} \quad (11)$$

using which the equation (9) can be rewritten as follows

$$\ddot{x} = -\nabla\Phi + v \times (\nabla \times A) \quad (12)$$

This allows interpreting $\nabla \times A$ as a gravitomagnetic field. Now consider a gyroscope in the space time. $\nabla \times A$ cause precessions of the orbits of a test particle. This precession is referred to as the Lense-Thirring precession [7]. Ref. [8] provides a decent recent review on Lense-Thirring precession for planets, satellites in the Solar system.

Now consider a gyroscope in an orbit. The similarity between the gravitomagnetic field and magnetic field beside the spin precession formula in electrodynamics ($\dot{S} = \mu \times B, \mu = \frac{e}{2m}S$) dictates that its spin precesses by [9]

$$\Omega_{LT} = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla \times A \quad (13)$$

This precession is called the Pugh-Schiff frame-dragging precession [10, 11]. The Pugh-Schiff frame-dragging precession due to the rotation of the earth recently has been measured by the gravity probe B with the precision of 19% [12].

In the linearized Einstein-Hilbert gravity, the field equations in the harmonic gauge simplifies to

$$\square \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = -16\pi G T_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} \quad (14)$$

$$\partial_\mu \bar{h}^{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (15)$$

where $\bar{h}_{ij}$ is the trace reversed perturbation

$$\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}h^\alpha{}_\alpha \quad (16)$$

The linearized equations can be derived from

$$S = \int d^4x \left(-\frac{1}{2}\partial_\alpha \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} \partial^\alpha \bar{h}^{\mu\nu} + 16\pi G \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} T^{\mu\nu} + \lambda_\nu \partial_\mu \bar{h}^{\mu\nu} \right) \quad (17)$$

where λ_ν is a local Lagrange multiplier enforcing (15), and $T^{\mu\nu}$ is understood to represent the linear energy-momentum tensor. Note that the effective action is invariant under the residual symmetry of the harmonic gauge. It is invariant under

$$h_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow h_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu \xi_\nu + \partial_\nu \xi_\mu \quad (18a)$$

$$\square \xi_\mu = 0 \quad (18b)$$

We do not fix the residual symmetry. We consider it as the symmetry of the action. We decompose $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}$ as what follows

$$\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \equiv \frac{1}{2}\bar{A}_0 dt^2 + \bar{A}_i dx^i dt + \bar{h}_{ij} dx^i dx^j \quad (19)$$

The effective action then reads

$$S = \int d^4x (\mathcal{L}_g + \mathcal{L}_s + \mathcal{L}_\lambda) \quad (20a)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_g \equiv -\frac{1}{4}\bar{F}_{\mu\nu}\bar{F}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\alpha \bar{h}_{ij} \partial^\alpha \bar{h}^{ij} \quad (20b)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_s \equiv 32\pi G \bar{A}_\mu J^\mu + 16\pi G \bar{h}_{ij} T^{ij} \quad (20c)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda \equiv \lambda_0 \partial^\mu \bar{A}_\mu + \lambda^i (\partial_0 \bar{A}_i - \partial_j \bar{h}_i{}^j) \quad (20d)$$

where

$$J_\mu \equiv T_{0\mu} \quad (21)$$

$$\bar{F}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu \bar{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu \bar{A}_\mu \quad (22)$$

Note that the effective action is invariant under the residual symmetry of the harmonic gauge (18). The $U(1)$ part of the action - the part that includes $\bar{A}_\mu$ - resembles the ordinary electrodynamics. It is called the Gravito-ElectroMagnetism (GEM). Compared to the electrodynamics, the equations of motion for λ impose two extra conditions on $\bar{A}_\mu$

$$\partial^\mu A_\mu = 0 \quad (23)$$

$$\partial_0 A_i - \partial_j \bar{h}_i{}^j = 0 \quad (24)$$

The first one states that the GEM should be solved in the Lorentz gauge. The second condition (24) implies that GEM has wave solutions only if $\bar{h}_{ij}$ field possesses a wave solution. The wave solution of the theory is due to the dynamics of $\bar{h}_{ij}$ field. This means that though the GEM is akin to the ordinary electrodynamics, due to its constraints and in the absence of the tensorial perturbation, it does not exhibit the wave solutions of the ordinary electrodynamics.

Near and around the galaxies, $\bar{h}_{ij}$ is suppressed due to the non-relativistic velocity of the stars and gas inside the galaxy. At the leading order $\bar{h}_{ij}$ does not affect the orbits of slow-moving massive particles. Slow moving particles see only the GEM part of the metric (5). Since we are interested in the orbits of slow moving massive particles around a galaxy we thus consider only the GEM part of (20):

$$S = \int d^4x (\mathcal{L}_A + \lambda \partial^\mu \bar{A}_\mu) \quad (25)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_A = -\frac{1}{4}\bar{F}_{\mu\nu}\bar{F}^{\mu\nu} + 32\pi G \bar{A}_\mu J^\mu \quad (26)$$

A time dependent A_μ , through the constraint equation (24), induces a time-dependent behavior for h_{ij} . The orbits of the stars are blind to the change in h_{ij} . In the study of the orbits of the stars, therefore, the time dependent solutions of (25) are valid. The reader should be cautious that gravity waves can not be addressed in the truncated action: waves require considering the full action (20). The truncated action (25) is, however, sufficient for studying the orbits of the stars in galaxies. The symmetry of the truncated Lagrangian (26) is

$$A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \Lambda \quad (27)$$

where Λ is a general scalar field.

The Newtonian approximation of the linearized GEM action (25) reads

$$\bar{A}_\mu(x, t) = (4\Phi(x), \vec{0}) \quad (28)$$

$$J_\mu = (\rho(x), \vec{0}) \quad (29)$$

The effective action in the Newtonian approximation then simplifies to

$$S = - \int d^3x \left[-\frac{1}{8\pi G} |\nabla\Phi|^2 + \rho\Phi \right] \quad (30)$$

In the Modified gravity realization of the MOND [13], one replaces the Newtonian classical field theory with a general field theory but retain the Newtonian dynamics ($F = ma$):

$$S_{MoG} = - \int d^3x \left[-\frac{1}{8\pi G} \mathcal{L}(\Phi, \nabla\Phi, \dots) + \rho\Phi \right], \quad (31)$$

Keeping intact the Newtonian dynamics means that orbits of slow moving particles are derived from (5). The AQUAL approach [14] assumes that the symmetries for the equations of motions derived from S and S_{MoG} are the same. The symmetries for S are

$$\Phi \rightarrow \Phi + \Lambda, \quad (32)$$

where Λ is constant. Imposing (32) on (31) requires $\mathcal{L}$ to be a functional of the derivative of the Newtonian potential:

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \mathcal{L}(\nabla\Phi, \nabla^2\Phi, \dots). \quad (33)$$

AQUAL also requires the equations to be second order. So the Lagrangian is simplified to

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \mathcal{L}(\nabla\Phi) \quad (34)$$

We can construct only one scalar out of $\nabla\Phi$. So the Lagrangian reads

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \mathcal{F}(\nabla\Phi \cdot \nabla\Phi) \quad (35)$$

and the AQUAL action follows

$$S_{AQUAL} = - \int d^3x \left[-\frac{1}{8\pi G} \mathcal{F}(\nabla\Phi \cdot \nabla\Phi/a_0^2) + \rho\Phi \right] \quad (36)$$

The first variation of the AQUAL action with respect to Φ yields

$$\nabla^\alpha \left(\mu \left(\frac{|\nabla\Phi|}{a_0} \right) \nabla_\alpha \Phi \right) = 4\pi G \rho, \quad (37)$$

where

$$\mu(x) = \mathcal{F}'(x^2). \quad (38)$$

The MOND terminology than requires [16][22]

$$\mu(x) \approx 1 : \text{For } x \gg 1 \quad (39)$$

$$\mu(x) \approx x : \text{For } x \leq 1 \quad (40)$$

and

$$a_0 = (1.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-10} \frac{m}{s^2}. \quad (41)$$

In the following we would like to apply the AQUAL assumptions, as reviewed above, on (20). In this Letter we are, however, interested in the orbits of slow moving particles. The slow-moving particles feels A_μ component of the metric (19). What slow-moving particles test can be derived from (25). The symmetries of (26) is (27). In the line of AQUAL model, we search for a non-linear generalization of (25) leading to second order differential equations. This generalization must coincide to the AQUAL model for vanishing gravitomagnetic field.

The simplest non-linear Lagrangian density for A preserving (27) follows

$$\mathcal{L}_{MOND} = \mathcal{L} \left(-\frac{\bar{F}_{\mu\nu} \bar{F}^{\mu\nu}}{4a_0^2} \right) + 32\pi G \bar{A}_\mu J^\mu \quad (42)$$

which must converts to (36) in the absence of the gravitomagnetic field. The consistency with the AQUAL model (36), therefore, requires

$$\mathcal{L}_{MOND} = \mathcal{F} \left(-\frac{\bar{F}_{\mu\nu} \bar{F}^{\mu\nu}}{4a_0^2} \right) + 32\pi G \bar{A}_\mu J^\mu \quad (43)$$

Near a static solution representing a slow rotating mass distribution where $|\nabla \bar{A}_0| \gg |\nabla \times \bar{A}_i|$, we can Taylor expand (43) around the gravitoelectric field strength and keep the leading and the subleading terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{MOND}(\nabla\Phi, \nabla \times A) &\approx \mathcal{F}(\nabla\Phi \cdot \nabla\Phi/a_0^2) \\ &+ \mu \left(\frac{|\nabla\Phi|}{a_0} \right) |\nabla \times A|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

in which (38) is utilized. Now let the equations of motion for (44) be computed

$$\nabla^i \left(\mu \left(\frac{|\nabla\Phi|}{a_0} \right) \nabla_i \Phi \right) \approx 4\pi G \rho \quad (45)$$

$$-\nabla \times \left(\mu \left(\frac{|\nabla\Phi|}{a_0} \right) \nabla \times \vec{A} \right) = \frac{16\pi G}{c^2} \vec{j} \quad (46)$$

where in (45), terms proportional to the gravitomagnetic field strength squared have been ignored. In the 'Newtonian' regime (39), the Einstein-Hilbert GEM are recovered. In the MOND regime both of the electric and magnetic field strengths are altered. We can write the electric and magnetic gravitational field strengths in the MOND theory in terms of their counterparts in the Einstein-Hilbert gravity:

$$\mu \left(\frac{|\nabla\Phi|}{a_0} \right) \nabla\Phi = \nabla\Phi_{EH} + \nabla \times \vec{h} \quad (47)$$

$$\mu \left(\frac{|\nabla\Phi|}{a_0} \right) \nabla \times \vec{A} = \nabla \times \vec{A}_{EH} + \nabla \tilde{h} \quad (48)$$

where $\vec{h}$ and $\tilde{h}$ are identified by

$$0 = \nabla \times \nabla \Phi = \nabla \times \left(\frac{\nabla \Phi_{EH} + \nabla \times \vec{h}}{\mu(\frac{|\nabla \Phi|}{a_0})} \right) \quad (49)$$

$$0 = \nabla \cdot \nabla \times \vec{A} = \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla \times A_{EH} + \nabla \tilde{h}}{\mu(\frac{|\nabla \Phi|}{a_0})} \right) \quad (50)$$

We could obtain (47) and (48) using an effective field theory approach. Let us illustrate this. Since the orbits of slow moving particles are sensitive to the gravitoelectric and gravitomagnetic fields, one can assume that their effective Lagrangian reads

$$S_{MGA} = - \int d^3r \left[-\frac{1}{8\pi G} \mathcal{L}(\Phi, \nabla \Phi, \dots, A, \partial A, \dots) \right] \quad (51)$$

which in the Newtonian regime must coincide to

$$S = - \int d^3r \left[-\frac{1}{8\pi G} (|\nabla \Phi|^2 + |\nabla \times A|^2) + \rho \Phi + 4j \cdot A \right] \quad (52)$$

where ϕ is the gravitoelectric potential and A represents the gravitomagnetic potential. Assuming that (51) and (52) have the same symmetries, one concludes that (51) possesses the following symmetries

$$\Phi \rightarrow \Phi + \nabla \times \vec{\Lambda}, \quad (53)$$

$$\vec{A} \rightarrow \vec{A} + \nabla \Lambda, \quad (54)$$

$$(\vec{A}, \vec{j}) \rightarrow (-\vec{A}, -\vec{j}) \quad (55)$$

These symmetries require

$$\mathcal{L}(\Phi, \nabla \Phi, \dots, A, \partial A, \dots) \equiv \mathcal{L}(E_g, B_g) \quad (56)$$

where $E = \nabla \phi$ and $B_g = \nabla \times A$. Note that we are assuming the same field content in the MOND and Newtonian regime. Theories like TeVeS [17] assume different field contents but they claim to have provided an interpolating theory from the very weak regime of gravity to the very strong regime of gravity. Here we adhere to the minimal assumptions: the only degrees of freedom in the weak regimes of gravity that can be observed are the perturbation around the metric. We, however, provide neither an interpolating theory to the strong gravity regimes nor the full generally covariant theory.

Requiring (56) Lagrangian to coincide to (36) leads to

$$\mathcal{L}(E_g, B_g) \approx \mathcal{F}\left(\frac{|E_g|^2}{a_0^2}\right) + \mathcal{L}_1\left(\frac{|E_g|^2}{a_0}\right) |B_g|^2$$

wherein the gravomagnetic field strength is assumed to be small:

$$|B_g| \ll |E_g| \quad (57)$$

The equations of motion derived from (57) can be approximated by

$$\nabla_i \left(\mu \left(\frac{E_g^2 + B_g^2}{a_0} \right) E_g^i \right) \approx \nabla_i E_{EH}^i \quad (58a)$$

$$\nabla \times \left(\mathcal{L}_1 \left(\frac{E_g^2 + B_g^2}{a_0} \right) B_g \right) \approx \nabla \times B_{EH} \quad (58b)$$

Note that due to (57), we have used $\mu(\frac{E_g^2 + B_g^2}{a_0}) \approx \mu(\frac{E_g^2}{a_0})$. We can use (58) to express the fields in terms of the gravitoelectric and gravitomagnetic fields of the Einstein-Hilbert theory:

$$\mu \left(\frac{E_g^2 + B_g^2}{a_0} \right) E = E_{EH} + \nabla \times \vec{h} \quad (59a)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \left(\frac{E_g^2 + B_g^2}{a_0} \right) B = B_{EH} + \nabla \tilde{h} \quad (59b)$$

where $\tilde{h}$ and $\vec{h}$ are identified by the consistency conditions similar to (49) and (50).

Now consider the y frame that is moving with the small velocity V to the x frame: $y^i = x^i + V^i t$. In this frame

$$\bar{E}_g = E_g + V \times B_g \quad (60a)$$

$$\bar{B}_g = B_g - V \times E_g \quad (60b)$$

$$\bar{E}_{EH} = E_{EH} + V \times B_{EH} \quad (60c)$$

$$\bar{B}_{EH} = B_{EH} - V \times E_{EH} \quad (60d)$$

We assume the same physics holds in all the inertial frames. So we have the same equations of motion in the x and y frame:

$$\mu \left(\frac{E_g^2 + B_g^2}{a_0} \right) \bar{E}_g = \bar{E}_{EH} + \nabla \times \vec{h} \quad (61a)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \left(\frac{E_g^2 + B_g^2}{a_0} \right) \bar{B}_g = \bar{B}_{EH} + \nabla \tilde{h} \quad (61b)$$

Inserting (60) in (61), and using (59) leads to

$$(\mu - \mathcal{L}_1) V \times B_g = \nabla \times (\vec{h} - \tilde{h}) \quad (62a)$$

$$(\mu - \mathcal{L}_1) V \times E_g = \nabla (\tilde{h} - \vec{h}) \quad (62b)$$

Recalling that V_i is a constant arbitrary small vector, the consistency for (62) demands

$$\nabla \cdot (\mu - \mathcal{L}_1) E_g = 0 \quad (63)$$

$$\nabla \times (\mu - \mathcal{L}_1) B_g = 0 \quad (64)$$

We demand the consistency for any given solutions, for arbitrary E_g and B_g . This requires

$$\mu = \mathcal{L}_1 \quad (65)$$

Inserting which in (59) reproduces (47) and (48). This perhaps independently affirms the correctness of our procedure in obtaining (47) and (48).

II. GRAVITOMAGNETISM OF A SPHERICAL MASS DISTRIBUTION

In the following we would like to compute the gravitomagnetic and gravitoelectric fields that a slow rotating spherical mass distribution produces in the vacuum. In the Einstein-Hilbert gravity we have

$$\nabla\Phi_{EH} = \frac{Gm}{r^3}\vec{r} \quad (66)$$

$$\nabla \times A_{EH} = \frac{G}{2c^2}(\frac{J}{r^3} - 3J.r\frac{\vec{r}}{r^5}) \quad (67)$$

where m is the total mass and J is the total angular velocity of the spherical mass, and $\vec{r} = 0$ represents the center of the mass distribution. Due to spherical symmetry $\nabla \times h = 0$ satisfies (49) leading to

$$\mu(\frac{|\nabla\Phi|}{a_0})\nabla\Phi = \frac{Gm}{r^3}\vec{r} \quad (68)$$

which in MOND regime (40) can be explicitly solved for $\nabla\Phi$:

$$\nabla\Phi = (Gma_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\vec{r}}{r^2} \quad (69)$$

This is the ordinary MOND modification of the Newtonian field capable of resolving the missing mass problem in galaxies and reproducing the Tully-Fisher relation [18].

In order to compute the gravitomagnetic field strength in the MOND regime around a slow rotating spherical mass distribution, we first compute ∇h . Inserting (69) into the consistency equation for ∇h , (50), in the MOND regime (40), then yields

$$\nabla.(r\nabla\tilde{h}) = \frac{G}{c^2}\frac{J.r}{r^4} \quad (70)$$

which is the non-homogeneous Laplace's equation in four dimensions written in the spherical coordinates:

$$\square_4\tilde{h} = \frac{G}{c^2}\frac{J.r}{r^5} \quad (71)$$

where $\tilde{h} \equiv \tilde{h}(r, r.J)$ is understood. Let it be emphasized that (71) represents the $\tilde{h}$ equation in large r . In the 'Newtonian' regime, at the vicinity of the origin, it holds $\nabla\tilde{h} = \vec{0}$. So we choose the solution of (71) which is source free at the origin. Doing so, the fall of of the $\nabla\tilde{h}$ is guaranteed to be r^{-4} or less. The gravitomagnetic field in the MOND regime, therefore, yields

$$\nabla \times A = -\frac{G}{2c^2(Gma_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}}(\frac{J}{r^2} - 3J.r\frac{\vec{r}}{r^3}) + O(\frac{1}{r^3}) \quad (72)$$

Note that (72) is not divergent for small masses because $|J| \propto m$. It is (72) that describes the gravitomagnetic field strength around a spherical galaxy at its MOND regime. We note that the fall of of the gravitomagnetic

field strengths of MOND is r^{-2} while that of the Einstein-Hilbert theory is r^{-3} . The gravitomagnetic field is enhanced in the deep MOND regime (40).

The equations for the Newtonian potential and the gravitomagnetic field of the Λ CDM theory read

$$\nabla^2\Phi = 4\pi G(\rho + \rho_{\text{Dark}}) \quad (73)$$

$$\nabla^2\vec{A} = \frac{16\pi G}{c^2}(\vec{j} + \vec{j}_{\text{Dark}}) \quad (74)$$

where ρ_{Dark} and j_{Dark} are respectively the density and the angular velocity distributions of dark matter. The gravitomagnetic field strength that the Λ CDM theory predicts for a spherical spinning galaxy at its edge then follows

$$\nabla \times \vec{A}_{\Lambda\text{CDM}} = \frac{G}{2c^2}(\frac{J}{r^3} - 3J.r\frac{\vec{r}}{r^5}) \quad (75)$$

There exists no direct information available about the velocity distribution of dark matter. The theoretical scenario considers dark matter halo as a cloud under a radial collapse. Such a collapse would not contribute to the angular momentum. We, additionally, observe that the difference between MOND and Λ CDM can not be simply assigned to the total angular momentum of the dark matter. We, therefore, conclude that measuring the gravitomagnetic force at the edge/beyond the edge of a galaxy refutes one of the MOND and dark paradigms and proves the other one. However the gravitomagnetic force at the edge of a galaxy is too small that one may not hope for its detection in the near future. In the next section, we argue that the gravitomagnetic force can be measured in the MONDian regime of the Solar system with the help of the near future technology.

III. GRAVITOMAGNETIC FIELD IN THE SOLAR SYSTEM

In some points in the solar system the gravitational field of the planets and the Sun and the galaxy cancel each other. Let these points be called the semi-Lagrange points. At a neighborhood around the semi-Lagrange points MOND/MOG governs gravity. Let these neighborhoods be called the MOND windows. If we send/keep a satellite to/in MOND windows we then can manifestly search for the prediction of the MOND paradigm by performing on board experiments.

Perhaps one can identify the MOND windows of the Solar system and their properties by numerically solving (47) for the Solar system. We, however, need only to estimate the size of the MOND windows and the gravitomagnetic field within them. The largest MOND window occurs at the semi-Lagrange point of the Sun-Jupiter system. In order to estimate the size of this window let us just consider the gravitational field of Sun and Jupiter [23]. Assume that the Jupiter's orbit is a circle around the sun. The magnitude of the Newtonian gravitational

field of the Sun and Jupiter in the line connecting these two reads:

$$g(x) = \frac{GM_{\text{Sun}}}{(d-x)^2} - \frac{GM_{\text{Jupiter}}}{x^2} \quad (76)$$

where x is the distance from the Jupiter and d is the distance between Sun and Jupiter. The Newtonian gravitational acceleration vanishes at

$$x_{\text{SL}} = \frac{d}{1 + \sqrt{\frac{M_{\text{Sun}}}{M_{\text{Jupiter}}}}} \quad (77)$$

x_{SL} identifies the Semi-Lagrange point. Around this point the Newtonian gravitational acceleration can be approximated to

$$g(x_{\text{SL}} + \delta x) \approx \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \right|_{x=x_{\text{SL}}} \delta x \quad (78)$$

or equivalently

$$g(x_{\text{SL}} + \delta x) \approx \frac{2GM_{\text{Jupiter}}}{d^3} \left(\frac{M_{\text{Sun}}}{M_{\text{Jupiter}}} \right)^{3/2} \delta x \quad (79)$$

where $\frac{M_{\text{Sun}}}{M_{\text{Jupiter}}} \gg 1$ is assumed. This states that the MOND window in the semi-Lagrange point of the Sun and Jupiter system is about $5km$. This is large enough to accommodate a satellite.

In the following we would like to estimate if we can measure the gravitomagnetic force produced at the Sun-Jupiter MOND window. We calculate this in the rest frame of the MOND window. The gravitomagnetic field receives contribution from the angular spins of the Jupiter and Sun, and also the orbital motion of the Sun and Jupiter. In the rest frame of the MOND window the leading contributions to the gravitomagnetic field are due to the orbital motion of the Sun and Jupiter :

$$A_{\text{Sun}} \propto \frac{G}{c^2} \frac{M_{\text{Sun}}}{d} \frac{2\pi}{T} \quad (80)$$

$$A_{\text{Jupiter}} \propto \frac{G}{c^2} \frac{M_{\text{Jupiter}}}{x_{\text{SL}}} \frac{2\pi}{T} \quad (81)$$

where T is the orbital period of the Jupiter around the Sun:

$$T = 4331.6 \text{ days} \quad (82)$$

Let us compare these contributions with the gravitomagnetic field measured by the gravity probe B. The gravity probe B measures the gravitomagnetic field around the earth through the Lense-Thirring effect. It has recently measured the gravitomagnetic field around the earth:

$$A_{\text{Probe B}} \propto \frac{G}{c^2} \frac{L}{r_{\text{pb}}^3} \quad (83)$$

where L represents the spin of the earth

$$L \approx 7.1 \times 10^{33} \text{ kg m}^2/\text{s} \quad (84)$$

and r_{pb} is the radius of the orbit of the gravity probe B:

$$r_{\text{pb}} \approx (6367.5 + 642) \text{ km} = 7009.5 \text{ km} \quad (85)$$

So the leading contributions to the magnitude of the gravitomagnetic force at this MOND window yield

$$\frac{A_{\text{Sun}}}{A_{\text{Probe B}}} \approx 2.1 \times 10^{-3} \quad (86a)$$

$$\frac{A_{\text{Jupiter}}}{A_{\text{Probe B}}} \approx 7 \times 10^{-5} \quad (86b)$$

Note that these gravitomagnetic fields are due to the Lorentz boosts. Their contribution to the gyroscope precession sometimes is attributed to Thomas [19]. They are relativistic effects. However since MOND enhances the gravitoelectric field in the MOND regimes, so it changes the Thomas precession.

The magnitude of the gravitomagnetic fields (86) shows that utilizing the today technology we can not detect the gravitomagnetic field in the MOND window, even if we manage to place a satellite in its deep MONDian regime and enlarge the magnitude of the gravitomagnetic field by some factors. The factor of 10^{-3} , however, is not very tiny. Jupiter is not far from the earth too: there was a proposal [20] to measure the the Lense-Thirring effect caused by the spin of Jupiter in the ongoing JUNO mission [24], and there exists the new EJSM-Laplace mission to Jupiter [25]. We might improve the current precision by a factor of 1000 in ten years provided that we annually improve the precision by a factor of two. So in the near future perhaps we have reached the desired precision. When this precision is achieved then placing a gyroscope inside the MONDian regime of the gravitational saddle point of the Sun-Jupiter and measuring the Pugh-Schiff effect will prove or refute the MOND paradigm.

Acknowledgements

I thank ICTP for the nice hospitality during which this work was accomplished. I thank J. Bekenstein and L. Iorio for the comments and criticisms through the correspondences, S. Randjbar-Daemi, M. Sheikh-Jabbari and H. Firouzjahi for the discussions.

- [2] L. Iorio, Open Astron. J. **3** (2010) 156 [arXiv:0901.3011 [gr-qc]].
- [3] H. F. M. Goenner, arXiv:0811.4529 [gr-qc].
- [4] T. Jacobson, Class. Quant. Grav. **24** (2007) 5717 [arXiv:0707.3222 [gr-qc]].
- [5] John Archibald Wheeler, Phys. Rev. **97** (1955) 511.
- [6] R. Penrose, Rev. Nuovo Cimento, **1** (1969) (Special Number), 252.
- [7] J. Lense and H. Thirring, Phys. Zeits. **19**, 156 (1918).
- [8] L. Iorio, H. I. M. Lichtenegger, M. L. Ruggiero and C. Corda, Astrophys. Space Sci. **331** (2011) 351 [arXiv:1009.3225 [gr-qc]].
- [9] T. Padmanabhan, *Gravitation: Foundations and Frontiers*, Cambridge University Press, www.cambridge.org/9780521882231.
- [10] Pugh, G.E.: WSEG Research Memorandum No. **11** (1959).
- [11] L. I. Schiff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **4**, 215 (1960).
- [12] C. W. F. Everitt *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106** (2011) 221101, arXiv:1105.3456 [gr-qc].
- [13] M. Milgrom, Astrophys. J. **270** (1983) 365; M. Milgrom, Astrophys. J. **270** (1983) 371.
- [14] J. Bekenstein, M. Milgrom, Astrophysical J. **286**, (1984) 7.
- [15] B. Famaey and J. Binney, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **363** (2005) 603 [arXiv:astro-ph/0506723].
- [16] J. D. Bekenstein, arXiv:astro-ph/0701848; K. G. Begeman, A. H. Broeils and R. H. Sanders, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **249** (1991) 523.
- [17] J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D **70** (2004) 083509 [Erratum-ibid. D **71** (2005) 069901] [arXiv:astro-ph/0403694].
- [18] R. B. Tully and J. R. Fisher, Astron. Astrophys. **54** (1977) 661.
- [19] S. L. H. Thomas, Phil. Mag. **3**, 1 (1927).
- [20] Lorenzo Iorio, New Astronomy **15** (2010) 554.
- [21] This is the kind of the modification of the effective energy of a particle that lets the extraction of energy from a black hole (the Penrose mechanism) [6].
- [22] The most widely used from μ are [14, 15]: $\mu(x) = \frac{x}{x+1}$ and $\mu(x) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+x^2}}$.
- [23] Including the gravitational fields of other planets would alter the position of the window but it is very unlikely to significantly change its size.
- [24] http://www.nasa.gov/mission_pages/juno
- [25] <http://sci.esa.int/ejasm>